## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CATHY D. BROOKS-MCCOLLUM : MISCELLANEOUS ACTION

:

V •

•

WAYNE BERRY et al. : NO. 02-MC-134

## ORDER

AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 2003, upon consideration of appellant Cathy Brooks-McCollum's <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> motion to stay proceedings (docket entry # 16), and the Court finding that appellant has not identified the proceedings she seeks to stay, it is hereby ORDERED that appellant's motion is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.<sup>1</sup>

BY THE COURT:

Stewart Dalzell, J.

If appellant chooses to refile this motion, she must comply with Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1(c) ("Every motion . . . shall be accompanied by a brief containing a <u>concise</u> statement of the legal contentions and authorities relied upon in support of the motion.") (emphasis added).